

# 1

---

## Agendas

---

*“We know where we want to end up: success. We want to matter. Wealth and recognition and reputation are nice too. We want it all...This is the thinking that creates the Faustian bargain that turns most clean ambition into shameless addiction...because no one ever said, reflecting on the whole of someone’s life, ‘Man, the monstrous ego was worth it.’” – Ego Is the Enemy, Ryan Holiday*

The birthing of an agenda rarely begins in a glitzy conference room or a Swiss Alps retreat. Rather, it begins in a school, or factory, or bar, or a dormitory, or even a graduate course taught by an awe-inspiring lecturer that produces the final trigger of inspiration. The student soaks in all the personal thoughts of his university mentor, his Plato, that goes along with a host of preconceived notions and upbringing that has excited his mind to think of global possibilities: that with just the right amount of effort, manipulation, and congealing of acolytes into an organization to carry out the deeper, more penetrating task for the invisible hands that ultimately finance this collective control dream.

This might be a fitting description of what Klaus Schwab is or became after attending lectures hosted by his mentor, Henry Kissinger, at Harvard. Schwab’s growth thereafter from merely a professional engineer to the leader of the *European Economic Forum* might be seen

as a substantial achievement. But for one that saw his mentor rise to U.S. Secretary of State in the Nixon administration, and go to China after starting off with ping-pong diplomacy, the desire to *exceed the master* likely grew, happily. With Kissinger's trek, the mission was to sway Mao permanently away from the orbit of USSR *with democratizing and globalizing Capitalism*. As this was later initiated, upon new leadership in both the United States and China, Schwab's own ambitions must have been stoked even further from the observance of his Plato's ascension into the pantheon of geopolitical history. The intervening years since China opened to the West, shows Klaus inviting Kissinger to his *World Economic Forum* (WEF) and recounting the same origin story.

As a student of history and engineering, Klaus also more than knew about the potentiality of rising from obscurity to the leadership of an energetic group of people. Klaus's birth in Ravensburg, Germany was just fifteen years after Adolf Hitler's *Beer Hall Putsch*; and like Hitler, Klaus's origin story included less German, with more Swiss and Austrian touches, but never let a confusing starting point deter from one's deeply-held desires. For Hitler, it was fanatical nationalistic reunification of Germany and Austria (sans the ethnic populace) and revenge against Jews and others he deemed unworthy; for Klaus, it is fanatical global public-private partnerships, Fascism, at a scale hitherto unachieved, and humanity's transitioning, after its downsizing through a war-like attrition, towards *Transhumanism*. Klaus's World Economic Forum promoted, slickly, no property ownership: a Communist tenet as well.<sup>3</sup>

Unlike Hitler, Klaus learned violent pushes, the old types of war, are little tolerated in a hypermedia environment, both as he likely experienced, and later, Klaus utilized the media more properly. Growing up in Cold War Europe, radicalism was heavily highlighted in media whenever it included any of the post-Nazi earmarks. Klaus, and his future *World Economic Forum*, instead worked at talent development in media, presentation, narrative building, and catastrophizing of any *global issue* to a workable end. The workable end – more control through the forum – came through more asymmetric warfare-like techniques.

Instead of excited rhetoric that blamed Jews for all of the Germany's ills, or persuasive propaganda that spoke of the great Germany Adolf could, or would achieve, *with his power*, Klaus's talks in *grand narratives* about a transhumanistic and fully equitable world if given the proper collaborations between multinational corporations (MNC) and institutions inside of state governments that can achieve more than any leader of *any nation ever will again*.

It is not that the end objective is any different; just the approach to it is a much more so-called, *enlightened dictatorial imperative*, done with

softer persuasion techniques, towards a *Utopian Technocracy* arising at the end of these financial partnerships. Klaus's *World Economic Forum* forges them from a Davos, Switzerland ski-sloped, winter retreat. Economics, not bombs, do the needed damage, both direct, and collateral, to those that do not agree with any of this.

Notable here, Hitler's nine month stay in prison was in excellent accommodations to dictate his *Mein Kampf* drivel, as Shirer noted:

“Such were the ideas of Adolf Hitler, set down in all their appalling crudeness as he sat in Landsberg prison gazing out at a flowering orchard above the River Lech, or later, in 1925-26, as he reclined on the balcony of a comfortable inn at Berchtesgaden and looked out across the towering Alps toward his native Austria...he would build on the shoddy foundations we have seen, and which he would rule with an iron hand. That one day he would build it and rule it he had no doubts whatsoever, for he was possessed of that burning sense...

A crude Darwinism? A sadistic fancy? An irresponsible egoism? A megalomania? It was all of these in part. But it was something more (90).”<sup>4</sup>

Adolf, according to Shirer's great work, noted three things worked in politics for the German Social Democrats: create a mass movement; the art of propaganda; the art of “spiritual and physical terror (Shirer, 22).”<sup>5</sup> Shirer focused on the last one as the faulty analysis derived from the racist prejudices of Hitler that spilled forth from *Mein Kampf*.

This three-pronged political observation came about in the early 1910s. This likely developed from the psychological mastery (such as it was then) in the hacking of the human mind's id, ego and superego. Sigmund Freud, a Vienna-based practitioner, could of theoretically ran across a young, “bohemian” Adolf as he too was in Vienna (Shirer, 19-21). Freud was then at the beating heart of the burgeoning psychiatric profession.<sup>6</sup>

Meanwhile, Sigmund's nephew provided the consumeristic pulse of media propaganda in the United States. Edward Bernays, worked for Wilson's War Department<sup>7</sup>; attended the Versailles Peace Conference; wrote the book, *Propaganda* (1928); and was very popular with Joseph Goebbels, Hitler's *Minister of Propaganda* for the 3<sup>rd</sup> Reich. Later, Bernays did more off-the-books work for the newly-formed CIA.

Bernays wrote in *Propaganda*: “Propaganda is the executive arm of the invisible government,”<sup>8,9</sup>; and this more pronounced and directed result of Bernays thinking, paraphrasing from *The Century of the Self* (2000): “If Propaganda can be used for war, it can be used for peace (8:00).”<sup>10,11</sup>

Such provided the background grist for which Hitler, if he was even aware of Bernays or Freud in the 1910s, could *hack about* in his later incoherent rhetoric and long-winded musings. Certainly, Paul Joseph Goebbels had post-hoc conversations that reinforced what Hitler thought and described in *Mein Kampf* after Goebbels came fully into the Nazi fold, as its main messaging component, by mid-1926 (Shirer, 123).<sup>12</sup>

Goebbels was intellectually trained in ways that should have been off-putting to the coarse and scatterbrained future Nazi leader in Adolf. However, this was not the case. Nor was Goebbels instantly lovestruck with Nazism. But during the 1925-26 timeframe, that gradually turned around and later cemented into place for the duration of Joseph's life.

In Shirer's words, "The diary of Goebbels is full of expressions of sympathy for Communism at this time (126)."<sup>13</sup> And, "on January 31, 1926, he told himself in his diary: "I think it is terrible that we [the Nazis] and the Communists are bashing in each other's heads..." Goebbels would attempt détente with the communists in an open letter penned in this timeframe along with attacks on the "nobles" possession of German wealth. Meanwhile, Hitler's Party was financed greatly by those very nobles, or their industries, that Goebbels proposed to nationalize (126-27).<sup>14</sup> Nevertheless, by that early spring, after various confabs with the ever-persistent and long-winded Hitler, Dr. Goebbels, was wooed to the cloth of Nazism, in perpetuity, happily or not (128-29).<sup>15</sup>

~

With the terrorizing of the mind and body affirmed into the Nazi playbook, Hitler was particularly interested in taking down the bourgeoisie through lies and slander, even as he exploited them financially for his own benefit almost from the outset of the party's formation; and using the mass movement point above to physically terrorize any would-be opponents, particularly *the communists*.

His would-be obsessive and ideologically adjacent offspring, Klaus, certainly uses emotion with a harsh accent that has little warmth and focuses on terrorizing by the use of climate change promotion towards his *Great Reset*. Klaus's WEF organization promotes an unhealthy control of media narratives and never gives any avenue for questions of his non-governmental role, except by the adoring and well-coached journalistic supporters, particularly originating from *CGTN* in China.

As one set of quotes from a July 2020 *Building Back Better* document on *Media, Entertainment and Culture Industry* posed that controlling messaging through demonetization, emotion, and using the Pandemic to install equity is needed as the response in this crucial industry:

“We examined the demonetization of harmful content through initiatives such as the Global Alliance for Responsible Media (GARM), in partnership with the Forum’s ‘Shaping the Future of Media, Entertainment and Culture’ platform... (3)

Responsible leadership is a critical enabler of sustainable governance. Top leaders exhibit five elements of responsible leadership: 1) stakeholder inclusion; 2) emotion and intuition; 3) mission and purpose; 4) technology and innovation; and 5) intellect and insight... (4)

The pandemic precipitated ‘three years of transformation in three months’. It presented both an imperative to respond and an opportunity to change, to build back better. It provided an opportunity to revisit long-held assumptions, to rebuild, redesign and reprioritize to create a more trusted, prosperous, resilient and equitable industry (5).”<sup>16</sup>

One should look askance at this unaccountable world body designing up a censorship environment; based on emotion as a key pillar in leadership; and slickly offering transformation that references equitable (in the eyes of which beholder?), that will not be by any transparent process. Rather, it is decided by its worthiness (by WEF selection) to be an installed leader, a controlled technocrat. And more worrisome: abuses out of such a selection system supporting WEF-appropriate narratives, emotions and equity-defined processes are unquestioned, much like all dictatorships operate.

~

Hitler’s Beer Hall Putsch happened on November 8, 1923. Now a century ago. Hitler fired a pistol during that failed coup night, a false start that led to his incarceration and publication of his prison scribbles in *Mein Kampf* (My Struggle). The atmosphere that gave him even the remotest inkling of a chance to start this revolution lay in the five years prior.

On November 11, 1918, Germany formally surrendered and soon faced the onerous, if seemingly warranted, Versailles Treaty dictates. It was only a short while before those dictates – financial reparations in hard collateral, gold marks (Shirer, 58), and restrictions on any militarization – both hamstringed the economy and wounded the German pride of the military warriors that came home defeated, and betrayed, in their views.

The Weimar Republic was a disastrous failure and extremism grows from government corruption, weakness, and ineptitude in handling the peoples’ rights and economic concerns. Weimar had this from its start. Versailles was the main focus of the Germans; Shirer reminded that:

“German memories did not appear to stretch back as far as one year, to March 3, 1918, when the victorious German Supreme Command had imposed on a defeated Russia at Brest Litovsk a peace treaty...[that] deprived Russia of a territory nearly as large as Austria-Hungary and Turkey combined, with 56,000,000 inhabitants...a third of her railway mileage, 73 percent of her iron ore, 89 percent of her total coal production...moreover, Russia was obliged to pay Germany an indemnity of six billion marks (57).”<sup>17</sup>

The Weimar Republic that agreed to Versailles soon violated the military side of the agreement while also meting out justice unevenly from Shirer’s recounting of treason charges aimed at political adversaries on the Left that fueled Hitler’s ambitions knowing consequences were minimal for extreme attempts (Shirer, 60).<sup>18</sup>

Likewise, the German mark fell like a stone over the course of 1921-1923. From 75 to a U.S. dollar in 1921, to 400 in 1922, it started 1923 at 7,000. This currency collapse paired with the French occupation of Germany’s Ruhr, their industrial epicenter, and home to most of Germany’s coal production. Non-violent strikes were supported by the Berlin government; others however went the sabotage route with other methods that the France decided were unacceptable, and countered with a range of options from arrests to death sentences. More spectacularly, the freefall of the currency to 4,000,000,000 marks to the dollar by November 1923 was the defining backdrop on which Hitler saw as a German crisis becoming his golden opportunity (Shirer, 61).<sup>19</sup>

Adolf’s opportunity was nixed barely out of the womb, failing in a night. However, a more familiar group benefited handsomely as Shirer reflects:

“The inflation could have been halted by merely balancing the budget – a difficult but not an impossible feat. Adequate taxation might have achieved this...Instead of drastically raising taxes on those who could pay, the republican government actually reduced them in 1921.

From then on, goaded by the big industrialists and landlords, who stood to gain though the masses of the people were financially ruined, the government deliberately let the mark tumble in order to free the State of its public debts, to escape from paying reparations...Moreover, the destruction of currency enabled German heavy industry to wipe out its indebtedness by refunding its obligations in worthless marks...

The masses of the people, however, did not realize how much the industrial tycoons, the Army and the State were benefiting from the ruin of the currency (Shirer, 61-62).”<sup>20</sup>

[**Note:** Shirer’s lack of understanding of taxes, and the escape of them by the wealthiest, who could actually pay them, should be observed. The

ability to raise taxes and cut spending *at the same time* is not even in the basket of abilities of 21st century technocrats in D.C. Though these administrators, from Congress to Treasury, routinely hustle the public on their technological expertise and abilities to do such things *together*. But, as of the last twenty years, the idea of balancing budgets, as will be shown by *U.S. national debt* (below), this does not even enter the worldview of modern technocrats with their Modern Monetary Theory (MMT).]

To put meat on the bones of Shirer's contentions, renowned author in his own right, Antony Sutton, wrote voluminously in his lifetime about the Soviets and the Nazis and the financial manipulations coming from Wall Street to Washington to London. In the work, *Wall Street and FDR* (1975), Chapter 3, Sutton provided the names, plots and organizations that drove the Weimar Republic towards the brutal genocidal hands of a WWI corporal with a hatred of capitalism, and the kleptocrats, alike.

Sutton discussed (31-41) the 1918-23 Weimar crisis and the financial benefactors of this regime problem:

“Reparations have an effect on the balance of payments similar to imports. They require either taxation or deficit spending to offset the drain. If the course of deficit spending is followed, the result will be inflationary, and this was the course followed in Germany.

Germany was obligated by the Allies to make recompense for all damage to private property, except in Russia and to pay all costs of Allied troops on German soil, but no maximum limit was set on the demands. Germany had forthwith to surrender 100 billion gold marks, with payments of one billion gold marks annually after 1921. The final payments plan worked out at the ‘London Ultimatum’ in May 1921 reflected these harsh and impossible terms and so provided a clear incentive to inflate to remove the burden of direct payments...

The so-called experts...Owen D. Young of the General Electric Company. J.P. Morgan, with Thomas N. Perkins and Thomas Lamont as alternates.

Worthy of note that businessman on the German side...were...in November 1918 a group of the Reich's most prominent businessmen, comprising Stinnes, Albert Voegler (then director of the Gelsenkirchen Mining Co., Ltd.), Carl Friedrich von Siemens, Felix Deutsche (of German General Electric), Director Mankiewitz of the Deutsche Bank, and Director Salomonsohn, of the Diskontogesellschaft, financed the movement of a Hitler forerunner...

The pertinent point is that the Felix Deutsche mentioned was a director of German General Electric and the American reparations representatives included Owen D. Young of General Electric [as well].

The policies that led to the ruinous German inflation were initiated under Chancellor Wilhelm Cuno, who was, immediately prior to becoming Chancellor, the president of Hamburg-America Line (HAPAG). Two of Cuno's co directors at HAPAG were Max Warburg, Hamburg banker and brother of Paul Warburg, member of the Federal Reserve System Advisory Board in the United States, and John von Berenberg Gossler, a member of the German advisory board of Franklin D. Roosevelt's United European Investors, Ltd.

Cuno was dismissed as German Chancellor in August 1923...Cuno came from a business circle that was able and willing to take pecuniary and personal advantage of the German inflation.

...the ultimate collapse of the German mark in 1923 ruined the German middle class and benefited three groups: a few German big businessmen, a few foreign businessmen who were in a position to gain advantage from the inflation, and the rising Hitler movement. As president of United European Investors, Ltd., Franklin D. Roosevelt was among those foreign businessmen who took advantage of Germany's misery for their own gain.

In... *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, we identified personal links between Wall Street financiers and Bolshevik revolutionaries...The precisely established links previously implicated the then German Ambassador to the United States, Count von Bernstorff, and his friend Adolph von Pavenstedt, senior partner in Amsinck & Co., who was 'for many years a chief paymaster of the German spy system in this country.' Amsinck & Co. was controlled by the J.P. Morgan, John D. Rockefeller, and other New York financial interests through American International Corporation.

[Moreover] Adolph von Pavenstedt and Edmund Pavenstedt...were also members of another financial house, Müller, Schall & Company. And it is at Müller, Schall that in 1922 we find Franklin D. Roosevelt at his [UEI] United European Investors, Ltd.

[Notable: Pavenstedts likely competed with the Roosevelts in Puerto Rican sugar properties, as Sutton keenly surmises, based on both families interest held in Caribbean sugar companies.]”<sup>21</sup> [My emphasis.]

Sutton reflected on UEI's stock ownership details, correspondence, maneuvers, and later cash out by FDR in May 1926. There were early investigations on the shady dealings of UEI, particularly its involvement with the “Roberts-Gould element (39)”<sup>22</sup>; and FDR was made aware of this by July 29, 1922 through his key advisor then: Louis Howe. Correspondences by Gould to FDR pointed out that the “jealous bankers whose scheme we hurt, and whose plans were upset. Had we not issued



today we would have failed (40).”<sup>23</sup> To be fair, as Sutton is, FDR was just a name attached to draw in investors to the scheme; not a “master mind (41)” as Gould described FDR to butter up his more prestigious cohort. Both advantaged themselves off Germany’s inflationary misery through Cuno’s timely position as Chancellor, Cuno’s board seat at HAPAG coinciding with Gossler’s relationship to FDR’s UEI’s German operation.

~

In this historic review, one remembers the Spanish Flu of 1918 which took more lives than all of World War I.<sup>24,25</sup> But the series of catastrophic events – Europe’s World War, 1918 Virus, Versailles Reparations, Weimar Inflation Spiral, German Social Unrest, Nazi and Communist Extremism, Failed Coup(s), Conflicting Ideologies, the Rise of Dictatorships Globally – keeps the common population in a state of flux, instability, stress, and thirsty for any answers sans that of a war. War is the unfortunate and devilish ploy of those malevolent enough, and financially backed to achieve its ends at the highest social price; and persuasive enough, to get a population to accept them as the only path left to them while under the extremist spell of *Mass Formation*.

History echoes well in many respects here. Similar events, *but not the inevitable sequencing*, or the crass Hitlerian word choices are clear enough. Heir apparent Klaus Schwab also “wrote” two books. *COVID 19: The Great Reset* and *The Great Narrative* exploited the crisis position to create the *Davos Man* opportunity that he and his acolytes parroted well since March 2020. This in concert with Xi Jinping’s avid promotion of the CCP lockdown desires made the former book quite a social engineering tell, released just three months after the pandemic began in the West. These tombs spelled out disaster for Western values, particularly for the small business and non-technology, entrepreneurial classes.

Klaus Schwab and Thierry Malleret wrote in *The Great Narrative*:

“The pandemic was one such thing. Many international organizations and individuals had warned for years that a pandemic would occur but, despite this, it took most of the world by complete surprise. Now (December 2021), almost two years since it began, the pandemic seems never-ending and continues to drag on. We hope that the COVID-19 crisis will soon be over, but will it... Pandemics as a social and psychological phenomenon are not episodic: they linger for years. A historian of science and medicine puts it this way: ‘We are living in the COVID-19 era, not the COVID-19 crisis. There will be lots of changes that are substantial and persistent... We will be dealing with many of the ramifications of COVID-19 for decades.’”<sup>26</sup> [My emphasis added.]

Notice in this brief passage, the ability to reinforce the crisis, uncertainty, longevity, and emotional stress with tension-filled words. The repeating of pandemic or COVID-19 is not by accident. The historian cited references back to a *New York Times* article (by Gina Kolata) who quoted Allan Brandt, a Harvard University professor. The word choice: *drag, linger, never-ending, warned, persistent, decades*, give the impression of no hope can be had regarding this manufactured catastrophe.

And that is the *emotional* point.

Pandemics do not *drag on* for decades. The individual repercussions from those that died, no doubt, have such personal impacts, but wane considerably with time as with any grieving process. The small businesses shuttered (by design) are of course a uniquely felt problem as well here. But several pandemics have occurred since 1918, and those were orders of magnitude more deadly (deaths/world population at their outset); and handled far better by those populations, despite less technology, medicines, and preparedness.

Jeffrey Tucker, a libertarian at the *Brownstone Institute*<sup>27</sup>, noted the 1968-69 H3N2 “Hong Kong Flu” caused none of the overblown responses. “That generation approached viruses with calm, rationality and intelligence. We left disease mitigation to medical professionals, individuals and families, rather than politics, politicians and government.”<sup>28</sup>

But this pandemic was not about truth or the real intentions of Klaus Schwab’s *Great Reset* narrative. Schwab continued on into the next paragraph:

“Lessons from past pandemics...Among them are the acceleration of automation and innovation, rising inequalities, the growing power of tech and surveillance, the rising rivalry between the United States and China, the partial retreat from globalization, the economic paradigm shift, and an increasingly fractious geopolitical landscape.”<sup>29</sup>

Notice only one of the above is neutral *linguistically* (innovation), while the remaining list is conflict-addled for emotional impacts to the reader.

~

Klaus and colleagues deployed such high-level concepts, regarding massive changes, corporate-government cooperation while also destabilizing disliked populations (most humans) – those who are natives to a country and complained too much about Globalization’s ills, half-birthed in the 1970s by his mentor, Kissinger.<sup>30</sup> Klaus’s schtick bares some semblance to early 20<sup>th</sup> century corporate-socialists, namely, the writings of Brookings and Filene.<sup>31</sup>

Change is not uniquely desired by all populations; and often it is the youngest (and most inexperienced) that are molded to think immediate change is needed by *the intellectuals* that have deeper agendas regarding maintaining or acquiring power. Many, typically older persons, in non-academic situations, are rather contented to slow progressions, and for good reasons. Their understanding acquired from parent's stories, readings or impactful experiences on what happened in Germany from the Spring of 1918 to the Fall of 1923 (or later, the Eastern Bloc under the Soviets) play a fundamental part in that slow to change. That the *Five-Year Weimar Unplan* ushered in extremism across the Globe that undoubtedly fueled World War II is now worthy of review, *yet again*.

The wisdom of older adults knows something *now* is *amiss*. Even if they don't always have the scientific or academic backgrounds to decipher the thrust behind it, they inherently feel the social, political and economic echoes of ginning up extremism rather well. Time in the world milieu provided a coarser education on the twists and turns of history. But it is education, nonetheless.

Sutton in *Wall Street and FDR* foreshadowed the *old-becomes-new* hustle of corporations with their bureaucratic technocrats together: "the principles of corporate socialism are but a thin veneer for the acquisition of wealth by a few at the expense of the many (70)." <sup>32</sup> To understand how such men *behind the curtain* are made, one need only review the biographic sketch of Edward Filene provided by Sutton.

Filene, "served as chairman of the Metropolitan Planning Commission of Boston, promoter of people's banks, and provided assistance to various cooperative movements. Filene was active in the Red Cross and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce; a founder of the League to Enforce Peace; a founder and later president of the Cooperative League, subsequently renamed the Twentieth Century Fund; and a member of the Foreign Policy Association and the Council on Foreign Relations (70)." <sup>33</sup>

Filene was an FDR acolyte, campaigner (prior to his death in 1937 at 77), and a writer of two notable books: *The Way Out* (1924) and *Successful Living in this Machine Age* (1932). Filene wrote (as Sutton cited), "the finest possible public service of businessmen is that rendered in and through the private businesses of the world (71)." <sup>34</sup> [My emphasis.]

Sutton nods to Kissinger – "the road to peace is the balance of power" argument – was a Fileneian adoption of a "19<sup>th</sup>-century formula (71)" that Sutton noted, even if Filene did not, leads to great chaos and world war.

This current iteration of the Globalist Parasitic plan is a technocratic, class-based, top-down desire to change the geopolitical and financial chess board for specific and special benefits to an elitist group, yet again.

One of Klaus's key acolytes, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, noted this in 2020: "This pandemic has provided an opportunity for a reset."<sup>35</sup>

The linguistic tells above echoed those of a *more refined 21st century Hitler*, as the political originations are now to the *Left*. (This too exploits the Left-Right political paradigm for divide-and-rule of populations across a host of decision vectors in people's lives. Health decisions and racial appearances being the most operative since 2020 started. Much akin to the Nazis usage of those vectors in the 1930s, regarding health and hygiene laws and the Jewish people.)

Sutton ended his *Genesis of Corporate Socialism* chapter with an appropriate summary of what is the 2020s plight towards *Agenda 2030*. BlackRock's Larry Fink has advocated for this idea since Wall Street destroyed the housing market by 2007-8, but then received a FED bailout thereafter:

"What was the philosophy of the financiers so far described? Certainly anything but laissez-faire competition, which was the last system they envisaged. Socialism, communism, fascism or their variants were acceptable. The ideal for these financiers was 'cooperation,' forced if necessary. Individualism was out, and competition was immoral. On the other hand, cooperation was consistently advocated as moral and worthy, and nowhere is compulsion rejected as immoral. Why? Because, when the verbiage is stripped away from the high-sounding phrases, compulsory cooperation was their golden road to a legal monopoly. Under the guise of public service, social objectives, and assorted do-goodism it is fundamentally 'Let society go to work for Wall Street (72).'<sup>36</sup> [My emphasis.]

Again, this 100% corporate-government control path is but a merger of a host of ideological underpinnings: Fascism, Communism, Technocracy, and even, *Atheism*. Tellingly, Klaus eagerly promoted a *4th Industrial Revolution* in a 2015 *Foreign Affairs* publication. In that article, Klaus buried the idea of *Transhumanism* subtly at the end:

"We need to shape a future that works for all of us by putting people first and empowering them. In its most pessimistic, dehumanized form, the Fourth Industrial Revolution may indeed have the potential to "robotize" humanity and thus to deprive us of our heart and soul. But as a complement to the best parts of human nature—creativity, empathy, stewardship—it can also lift humanity into a new collective and moral consciousness based on a shared sense of destiny. It is incumbent on us all to make sure the latter prevails."<sup>37</sup>

Echoes again of *The Borg mentality* and *19th century philosophy*.

~

Hitler's ideas, such as these were, came out of a cauldron of philosophic, paganistic, and racist stews brewing up to a formative boil by the cusp of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Shirer's masterwork delved deeply into the men that directly, (or more likely), indirectly shaped the destructive mind and musings of Hitler.

Over the course of the 1800s, the names that fueled the ethnic fires of what became World War I and II ideological-driven tenets, ran back to Hegel, Treitschke, Marx, Nietzsche, and through Richard Wagner and to an Englishman: Houston Stewart Chamberlain. Hegel, of the dialectic, is undoubtedly the most visibly enduring into the present day (particularly in the world educational systems) with the *Thesis, Antithesis, and Synthesis* control framework. But in the hands of the global parasitic (GP) elite, the dialectic formulates as: create the problem, exploit the reaction, and offer the solution. Rinse and repeat until the long-sought agendas are accomplished through *constant ideological struggle*. Shirer reflected cleanly this came out of Hegel's adoration of State Power:

“To Hegel the State is all, or almost all. Among other things, he says, it is the highest revelation of the ‘world spirit’; it is the ‘moral universe’; it is ‘the actuality of the ethical idea...ethical mind...knowing and thinking itself’; the State ‘has the supreme right against the individual, whose supreme duty is to be a member of the State...for the right of the world spirit is above all special privileges (98).”<sup>38</sup>

Hegel's role in Marxism is not to be diminished here. Shirer minimized Marx throughout this Reichian reflection (appearing just twice in 1,273 pages), possibly due to Marx's endpoint: a “no State” outcome. Nevertheless, Shirer linked the “inspiration” of Hitler and Marx together as being drawn from Hegelian philosophies (98).<sup>39</sup>

In Marxism, the “anarchic” no-State desires are nearly implausible when applied to *Modernity*.<sup>40</sup> Even the “best” examples of communism (the final action desired by Marx, the removal of the State) prematurely finishes with a one-party authoritarian rulership firmly in place. For such ideologs with political power, the ultimate expression of Marxism is not as appealing once the enormously risky and deathly domination of a submitted people has been pursued, so obsessively, to an assured, slavish end. Their *final task is not sanely possible* – because enemies arise that will gladly ensure that death befalls the leader that steps aside *even in the slightest*. By then, as well, the psychological and physiological pressures (required struggles) may well drive one towards a (Godless) madness.

Humanity as such is flawed, and will continue to be, even as its better organizing principles – a healthy tension of individual liberties and rights versus an agreed upon social contract under a modest Nation-State – has, and can be, achieved successfully for nearly all of its peoples.

However, such requires a stern diligence, and vigilance, that most populations grow carelessly complacent about and ignore inevitable circumstances of corruption, criminality and perversion in the State that will result in the present times we see unfolding exponentially.

[One offers here that a political *psychopathy* is a key to this repetitive problem, that of: high intellect, motivation and malevolence, in amply supply, and fueled up by wealth and positioning to easily pervert lesser political sociopaths, personality-disordered, or even “normal” people.

About 1-4% of the human population has these characteristics<sup>41</sup> that drive societies into the dustbin, as Hitler and his lesser minions were *overwhelming so disordered*. Thereafter, the evolutionary game resets; and it takes about 3-4 generations to spin the wheel of war up again through these humans *without a conscience*.

A new set of psychopaths – who are not actually ideologically pure, but parasitic in behaviors and use any manipulation to acquire success, or relief from boredom, garner enough political or positional power over a large enough percentage of humanity to create the appropriate backdrop for enormous social destabilization, leading to large-scale wars.

Sadly, financial markets and run-of-the-mill opportunists fuel these megalomaniacs-in-the-making towards such wars and mass death.]

Beyond Marx, Nietzsche provided an operative present-day pillar towards a “European Union and world government (99)”<sup>42</sup> that has come to a partial fruition. Nietzsche, as Shirer reinforced was useful to the common Nazi; and one keenly speculates, the common themes of Klaus Schwab flow as well out of a Nietzschean worldview:

“There was some ground for this appropriation of Nietzsche as one of the originators of the Nazi *Weltanschauung* (*worldview*). Had not the philosopher thundered against democracy and parliaments, preached the will to power, praised war and proclaimed the coming of the master race and superman – and in the most telling aphorisms? A Nazi could proudly quote him on almost every conceivable subject, and did. On Christianity: ‘the one great curse, the one enormous and innermost perversion... I call it the one immortal blemish of mankind... This Christianity is no more than the typical teaching of the Socialists... ‘There is no such thing as the right to live, the right to work, or the right to be happy: in this respect man is no different from the meanest worm.’ (100).”<sup>43</sup>

An analysis of this amoral economic design is provided in Sutton’s *Genesis of Corporate Socialism*, by highlighting Rockefeller, Morgan that forebode what is the rise of a Larry Fink, Bill Gates, or Jeff Bezos:

“Old John D. Rockefeller and his 19th century fellow-capitalists were convinced of one absolute truth: that no great monetary wealth could be accumulated under the impartial rules of a competitive laissez faire society. The only sure road to the acquisition of massive wealth was monopoly: drive out your competitors, reduce competition, eliminate laissez-faire, and above all get state protection for your industry through compliant politicians and government regulation. This last avenue yields a legal monopoly, and a legal monopoly always leads to wealth.

This robber baron schema is also, under different labels, the socialist plan. The difference between a corporate state monopoly and a socialist state monopoly is essentially only the identity of the group controlling the power structure. The essence of socialism is monopoly control by the state using hired planners and academic sponges. On the other hand, Rockefeller, Morgan, and their corporate friends aimed to acquire and control their monopoly and to maximize its profits through influence in the state political apparatus; this, while it still needs hired planners and academic sponges, is a discreet and far more subtle process than outright state ownership under socialism. Success for the Rockefeller gambit has depended particularly upon focusing public attention upon largely irrelevant and superficial historical creations, such as the myth of a struggle between capitalists and communists, and careful cultivation of political forces by big business. We call this phenomenon of corporate legal monopoly—market control acquired by using political influence—by the name of corporate socialism (62).”<sup>44</sup>

Our 21<sup>st</sup> century timeframe repeated this monopolistic control model guised under a WEF “public-private partnership” moniker. The purposeful rise of the Technocrat – hired planners and academic sponges – formed a *combined model schema*, the corporate behemoth (Apple) and the U.S. government (through Agency “powers”): Fascism married Socialism. Meanwhile generated irrelevancies – the 2010s politically-pitched battles over racism, domestic terrorism, and fascism *from the common political right* are conveniently divisive tropes sold by the overwhelming, partnered-with-WEF media partners and advertisers.

WEF partner companies numbered well over 1,000 worldwide as of this writing. The list includes all sectors of industry. Their influence campaigns invited thousands of participants from government and corporations across the world to Davos yearly to hold both public (and private meetings) where one can tell, from the public pronouncements, the goal is not better governance. Rather, it is better control of world populations without their consent or negotiation. Due to Switzerland’s so-called neutrality – it violated this precept in 2022, siding with the

European Union over the Russia-Ukraine War – since 1815, Davos provides a schema to run the world *without consequences*.<sup>45</sup>

The WEF website provided a treasure trove of white papers and Marxist approaches to all problems usable for their fear-based agenda: particularly Nature, Health and Climate Change. Klaus's organization provides translation into Chinese at the bottom of their articles too.<sup>46</sup>

[**Note:** Oliver Schwab, Klaus's son, is married to a Chinese woman and is the managing director of the WEF in Beijing, China.<sup>47,48</sup> In 2017 and 2022, Xi Jinping provided the opening speech at the annual meeting at Davos.<sup>49</sup> This coincided with Xi's 5-year term renewal as the CCP's paramount leader. In October 2022, Xi became CCP leader *for life*, and displayed his power visibly in the removal of former paramount leader Hu Jintao. Notable as well, Klaus's daughter focuses on *gender issues*.]

Nietzsche's forewarning (and his heartfelt desire), Shirer linked to the mindset of Hitler:

“...the coming elite who would rule the world and from whom the superman would spring. In *The Will to Power* [Nietzsche] exclaims: ‘A daring and ruler race is building itself up...The aim should be to prepare a transvaluation of values for a particularly strong kind of man, most highly gifted in intellect and will. This man and the elite around him will become the ‘lords of the earth (101).’”<sup>50</sup> [My emphasis.]

Hitler “appropriated” the *Lords of the Earth* (101) phrase that predestined the *New World Order* propagandistic phrasing of late 20<sup>th</sup> century men from Kissinger to George H.W. Bush to Obama and to his former Vice-President Joe Biden in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

When ‘World Order’ is demanded, submission is the true desire.

~

Klaus's overall intellectual heft is promoted by his Goebbels: Yuval Noah Harari. Nicknamed “the prophet,”<sup>51</sup> Dr. Harari is an Israeli historian and author of *Sapiens and Homo Deus*.<sup>52</sup> Harari speaks and writes as an observable atheist (or at least ascribable as a secular Jew).

Critics, namely the recently departed Dr. Zev Zelenko<sup>53</sup>, observant of the Jewish faith, noticed Yuval's messaging was not much differentiated from Nazi ideology, stating “all the Godlessness that has been bred in academia... and the embodiment...and [Yuval] is the leader of the movement to destroy God (23:16-24:04).”<sup>54</sup>

Yuval has suggested that: “Free will is over.”<sup>55</sup> This idea flowed naturally out of many 19<sup>th</sup> century German thinking and supported the intellectual ammunition for what came about in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.



(And at present: the 21<sup>st</sup> century's main leadership and world actors have made it apparent that individual rights, free will, are not a concern.)

More than one analyst can reflect the WEF is not about *one ideology*. But a mixture, a collective of influences (to appeal to socialist-supporting Masses) congealed towards an inflexible, technology-driven end: mass top-down control that is a but high-tech driven Totalitarianism.

~


New war drums began their beat around the same time Klaus's latest book was wrapped up in late 2021. With Ukrainian family origins, Undersecretary of State Victoria Nuland landed again at the maidenhead of Ukrainian policy.<sup>56</sup> After the 2014 Maidan Revolution, with her work there completed for Obama and Biden<sup>57</sup>, she toured the think tank circuit and landed at *Brookings* prior to being selected, yet again, by Biden. Nuland, a noted neocon and married into the radical sect that designed and deployed the response to the *War on Terror* circa 1999-2005, visited Moscow in October 2021, just a month after the U.S. chaotically left Afghanistan with billions in weaponry abandoned and 13 dead soldiers.<sup>58</sup>

This meeting lit a fire under Putin's geopolitical positioning in Ukraine as Nuland made threats to wreck the entire Russian economy.<sup>59</sup> Putin's memory and resume dates back to his old KGB days and his KGB boss and paramount leader Yuri Andropov, and predecessor, Leonid Brezhnev.<sup>60</sup> Undoubtedly, with Putin's chess background, thuggish mentality, and near quarter century running Russia, such threats made are not taken idly. Especially coming from a Ukrainian that has a *Russian obsession* and the means to carry them out called the U.S. military.<sup>61</sup>

The inflationary pressures are present yet again. The world watched as their consumer prices went up right after the printing of trillions of dollars in stimulus since the March 2020 lockdowns. Thereafter, the governments, particularly the United States, spent trillions on various welfare programs and defiled the idea of balancing budgets. The U.S. national debt from 2019 to 2023 rose by \$8 trillion to over \$31 trillion.<sup>62</sup> The World Debt blew well past \$300 trillion with OTC and shadow financial derivatives that are beyond the *quadrillion dollar* range.<sup>63, 64, 65</sup>

This, as Shirer reflected above, benefited only the most powerful companies. Chief among them, in the present day, starting in March 2020: BlackRock. BlackRock secured access to Federal Reserve fiat money with \$454 Billion coming directly from the 2020 CARES Act.<sup>66</sup> Larry Fink, a close ally of Klaus Schwab and Bill Gates, had \$10 trillion in assets under management at BlackRock (in 2021) and desired "forcing behaviors" according to his *Deal Book* interviews.<sup>67</sup> Another Black, Blackstone, began buying up residential and commercial real estate as


well with FED policy. All the usual billionaires saw increased largesse out of the FED printing money for them, while wiping out the middle class in lockstep.



The *powers that be* furthered narratives about *White* extremism. The United States agencies keenly incited (and surveilled) the U.S. population to find such extremism, that of course, one could say these government agencies are quite responsible for causing through psychological and economic duress. In the early 2010s, off the well-known financial corruption regarding the *Great Recession*, there was a cynical design to shift narratives to racism in the United States when *Tea Party* and *Occupy Wall Street* movements formed from the right and left. Those movements were infiltrated; even as these movements were rightly upset at the Globalist money class over destructing their financial lives, with derivatives and hustles. Millions of working-class folks were left to pay for the ills of an unaccountable Wall Street and federal governance class.

The Clinton, Bush and Obama administrations all were to various degrees determinative of what happened through the decline of a middle class, no matter their races or creeds. The exportation of jobs, the gutting of manufacturing, the rise of behemoth financial entities, and the irresponsible choices these administrations made regarding OTC derivatives, housing, wars, manufacturing, and debt servicing, made for a *master class*: how-to steal from the very people that feed the country, through farming, and their intellectual capacity, for innovation, while government wasted their taxes on Middle East wars, Technocrats and Socialist Giveaways to buy up enough voters to *maintain their power*.


## Global Debt By Type (\$T, Constant 2014 FX), Q4:00 – Q2:15



As Shirer noted, tellingly, about such government failures: “What good were standards and practices of such a society, which encouraged savings and investment and solemnly promised a safe return from them and then defaulted? Was this not a fraud on the people (Shirer, 57)?”<sup>68</sup> [My emphasis.]

### Historic highs

In 2020, global debt experienced the largest surge in 50 years. (debt as a percent of GDP)



Sources: IMF Global Debt Database and IMF staff calculations.  
 Note: The estimated ratios of global debt to GDP are weighted by each country's GDP in US dollars.

As well, these corrupting forces were not isolated to just the United States. In Canada, and across the globe, governments threatened and harassed their populations over the 2020 'enhanced' flu virus, had demonized their thoughts and verbal suggestions for years,<sup>69</sup> and refused to listen about the pervasive, media insanity of locking down healthy people with the immuno-compromised. This occurred in lockstep with destructing economic lives of tens of millions of small businesses worldwide at the visible hands of *World Economic Forum Partners (WEF)*, the *World Health Organization (WHO)*, and China.

China's lockdown strategy was mimicked (intentionally copied) to destabilize Western economies (as world debt levels skyrocketed past \$300 trillion<sup>\*70</sup>) to usher in Klaus's *Great Reset*. A myriad of political figures parroted this propaganda line routinely in 2020 and through to the present, especially when useful as a negative reinforcement (or punishment) for those that do not adhere to its illogic; or irrationality.

[\*Getting an accurate account (see above) of World Debt depends on which organization provides the numbers, when they provide them, and whether they are measuring the same basket of countries, entities and persons correctly in *one snapshot*. One has found that World Debt is more likely well over \$320 trillion (US) and growing as of this early 2023 writing. Any changing of the world reserve currency will undoubtedly make this number a very different ballgame in the future.]

~

Additionally, the psychological deflection tactics of governments regarding their people's concerns about corruption, equal justice under the law, allowance of sects to operate with legal impunity (in rioting, migration flows) and the grooming of minors, but calling this *social justice*, reflected purposeful, ideological schisms *brought on by class warfare*. Present-day governments are populated with persons that show markers of achieving *class consciousness*: a blatant disregard for the common people because the bureaucrat is no longer connected with their citizenry; see themselves above the population; and hold a separate set of beliefs and desires altogether from the people they are meant to serve.

Similar class issues operated under the fleeting Weimar Republic, post-World War I, as Shirer expressed:

"Under General Hans von Seeckt, the brilliant creator of the 100,000-man Reichswehr, the Army, small as it was in numbers, became a state within a state, exerting an increasing influence on the nation's foreign and domestic policies until a point was reached where the Republic's continued existence depended on the will of the officer corps (60)."<sup>71</sup>  
[My emphasis.]

This battle of *class ideologies* – that of nation-state integrity, control of its borders, culture, economy and constitutions versus no borders, mass migration, 24-7 tracking and censorship of unapproved messages by unelected federal bureaucracies – is ordinary, post-WW2 nationalism versus an extremist 21<sup>st</sup> century Globalist mindset. The latter is being installed by U.S. Agencies through the octogenarian-in-chief Biden’s executive orders and the unbridled spending by the U.S. Congress.

*The Administrative State*, numbering 2 million plus, is skewed 90% plus towards one political party in concert with social media and technology companies donations to that party.<sup>72,73,74</sup> Thus, the 21<sup>st</sup> century hallmarks of fascism arise out of a leftist (Democrat) worldview: collectivism and censorship guised behind so-called empathetic natures regarding the planet, but are in the service of federal agencies from the FBI, the CIA, to the CDC and NIH, and this alphabet list could continue on much further.

~

The rise of the next dictator(s) is not in the future. Those forces are being made from the congealing of the factors of inflation, ongoing war and future conflicts, massive social changes, and the seizure of power by international bodies. This last one, pivots on the *WHO Pandemic Treaty* which, as designed, will further subjugate nation-states to the whims of autocratic health officers and their declarations of emergencies.<sup>75</sup> The prime ministers and presidents of countries *are demoted in decision-making* while closely adhering to the formalized ideas of control of an international body that is at the whims of China’s one-party rule and their like-minded billionaire-on-health: Bill Gates.

Thus, when Klaus mentioned penetrating cabinets, such can be seen as a chess maneuver: to control or influence greatly both the international bodies and the nation-state agency heads through slick indoctrination; and by early selection of those most amendable to these practices, a two-pronged pincer move has been achieved. That of a firm grasp on Global and Nation-state top technocrats that read from the *Transhuman Bible*.

Unlike his Nazi-forming progenitor, Klaus amped up *the fear of future Earth catastrophes* propaganda versus the one trick, race-based propaganda of Hitler. Klaus developed a closed-silo selection process for young, talented and easily corrupted minds. The Young Global Leaders echoes the *Who’s Who* in industry catalogs. While Hitler’s ragtag group congealed through the Weimar chaos and their later propagandist activities brought in extremists from all walks of life, particularly army veterans who felt rightly or wrongly: “stabbed in the back (Shirer, 31).”<sup>76</sup> The Nazis cultivated well a host of sociopaths, from all walks of life, including schoolteachers, that were later called, “sadists,” “homosexuals,” and “perverts (50).”<sup>77</sup>

Biden's administration (and Klaus is very friendly with Biden personally), hired a transgendered man for a top HHS position; a crossdresser, assigned to nuclear security, who later stole luggage at the airport, and was fired.<sup>78</sup> Biden's executive orders emboldened a transgender movement, while diminishing and demonizing half the population: calling them MAGA Republicans or MAGA extremists.

If anyone dared to question their schools' policies,<sup>79</sup> in promoting radical LGBTQ towards minors, as early as pre-middle school, they too were the domestic extremists or "terrorists."<sup>80</sup> These smears coincided with a rape *by a minor*, who later claimed himself as transgendered, that was covered up by a Loudoun County, northern Virginia school system, home to many federal officials.<sup>81</sup>

The school systems are fraught with LGBTQ erotica. A generation or two ago, these books would have triggered arrests of the peddlers of such pornographic propaganda. Now, the parent is made toothless – and *The State* has decided anything shall go – if they can get any affirmative consent from a child. Teachers and administrators encourage minor children to hide information from their parents – their mothers and fathers who feed, cloth, house and love their children – are to be inferior in the decisions of their children: the ones they brought into this world out of love.<sup>82</sup> Gender reassignment surgeries *among minors* are rising.

The WHO has promoted Marxist ideology for many years – as it deems minors are able to consent to vaccinations and encourages rebellion against their parents. Some could parallel this to a Hitler's youth movement or Mao's cultural revolution. The child, with incomplete mental capacity, that can be psychologically twisted to hate their parents and snitch on them as well for any disciplining in respect to their unapproved choices, will be weaponized to get *The State* involved. (This does not mean parental abuse is to be ignored.) From that point forward, the easily manipulated child will thoughtlessly carry out any messaging (as a cult follower, a Stockholm syndrome sufferer) to achieve acceptance from its new master: a state official who takes glee in their new-found control and State funding that comes from such amassed powers.


In essence, there is a replay of pre-WW2 activities, regarding health, perversion, propaganda and power. Klaus's perpetrating players reused a Rockefeller corporate-governance socialist revolution, to feedback on the bottom-up perverting of values through intense social engineering. This marriage has achieved far greater control of the 8 billion (in current population) while destructing their economies and livelihoods.

To echo back again to 1933, after Hitler's 1924 incarceration, he picked right up where he left off in that November 1923 Weimar Revolution. The further collapse of world economies – the 1929-37 Great Depression –

was felt worse in Germany than in the United States. Deflation, bankruptcies and beggar-thy-neighbor policies meant real *cults of personality* grew.

Representative of these personalities: Hitler, Mussolini, Stalin, and to a lesser degree, Tōjō, were all responsible for an Axis solidifying towards a totalitarian response that resulted in World War II (Stockwin, 27).<sup>83</sup>

Klaus's birth in Germany happened as Adolf Hitler was named Time's *Man of the Year* in 1938.<sup>84</sup>



~